arXiv:1304.0851v1 [math.DG] 3 Apr 2013

MINIMAL SURFACES AND EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS

AILANA FRASER AND RICHARD SCHOEN

Abstract. We show that metrics that maximize the k-th Steklov eigenvalue on surfaces with boundary arise from free boundary minimal surfaces in the unit ball. We prove several properties of the volumes of these minimal submanifolds. For free boundary minimal submanifolds in the ball we show that the boundary volume is reduced up to second order under conformal transformations of the ball. For two-dimensional stationary integer multiplicity rectifiable varifolds that are stationary for deformations that preserve the ball, we prove that the boundary length is reduced under conformal transformations. We also give an overview of some of the known results on extremal metrics of the Laplacian on closed surfaces, and give a survey of our recent results from [FS2] on extremal metrics for Steklov eigenvalues on compact surfaces with boundary.

1. Introduction

Given a smooth compact surface M, the choice of a Riemannian metric g on M gives a Laplace operator Δ_g, which has a discrete set of eigenvalues

$$\lambda_0 = 0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_k \leq \ldots \to \infty.$$

A basic question is: Assuming we fix the area to be 1, what is the metric that maximizes the first eigenvalue? Does such a metric exist? If so what can we say about its geometry? If we assume that we have a smooth metric g that realizes the maximum, then it turns out that the multiplicity of the eigenvalue is always at least 3, and the maximizing condition implies that there are independent eigenfunctions $u_1, \ldots, u_{n+1}$ with the property that $\sum |u_i|^2 = 1$ on M and the map $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_{n+1})$ defines a conformal map to S^n with $n \geq 2$ (see [N]). This implies that the image surface $\Sigma = u(M)$ is a minimal surface in S^n; that is, the mean curvature of Σ is zero. Furthermore, the optimal metric g is a positive constant times the induced metric on Σ from S^n.

There are few surfaces for which maximizing metrics are known to exist. In principle the cases with $\chi(M) \geq 0$ are understood:

• For S^2 the constant curvature metric is the unique maximum by a result of Hersch [H] from 1970.

• For RP^2 the constant curvature metric is the unique maximum by a result of Li and Yau [LY] from the 1980s. The Veronese minimal embedding of RP^2 into S^4 is key.

• For T^2 the flat metric on the 60^0 rhombic torus is the unique maximum by a result of Nadirashvili [N] from 1996. It can be minimally embedded into S^5 by first eigenfunctions. In 2000 El Soufi and Ilias [EI2] showed that the only other smooth critical metric is the flat square torus which can be minimally embedded into S^3 as the Clifford torus.

The first author was partially supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and the second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1105323.

- For the Klein bottle the extremal metric is smooth and unique but not flat. This follows from work of Nadirashvili [N] from 1996 on existence of a maximizer, Jakobson, Nadirashvili, and Polterovich [JNP] from 2006 who constructed the metric, and El Soufi, Giacomini, and Jazar [EGJ] from 2006 who proved it is unique. The metric arises on a minimal immersion of the Klein bottle into S^4.

The case of the torus and the Klein bottle rely on a difficult existence theorem which was posed along with an outlined proof by Nadirashvili [N].

For large genus one might hope to understand the asymptotic behavior. If we fix a surface M of genus γ, then we can define

$$\lambda^*(\gamma) = \sup\{\lambda_1(g)A(g) : \ g \text{ smooth metric on } M\},$$

where $A(g)$ denotes the area of (M, g). Yang and Yau [YY] have shown

$$\lambda^*(\gamma) \leq 8\pi \left[\frac{\gamma+3}{2}\right].$$

It was also shown by the combination of Buser, Berger, and Dodzuik [BBD] and Brooks and Makover [BM] that for large γ there is a hyperbolic metric with $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{3}{16}$. This implies the lower bound

$$\lambda^*(\gamma) \geq \frac{3}{4}\pi(\gamma - 1).$$

It is natural to ask similar questions and look for optimal metrics on surfaces with boundary. Note that a minimal submanifold Σ^k in S^n is naturally the boundary of a minimal submanifold of the ball, the cone $C(\Sigma)$ over Σ. The coordinate functions of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ restricted to $C(\Sigma)$ are harmonic functions which are homogeneous of degree 1, so on the boundary they satisfy $\nabla_\eta x_i = x_i$ where η is the outward unit normal vector to $\partial C(\Sigma)$. More generally, a proper minimal submanifold Σ of the unit ball B^n which is orthogonal to the sphere at the boundary is called a *free boundary submanifold*. These are characterized by the condition that the coordinate functions are Steklov eigenfunctions with eigenvalue 1; that is, $\Delta x_i = 0$ on Σ and $\nabla_\eta x_i = x_i$ on $\partial\Sigma$. It turns out that surfaces of this type arise as eigenvalue extremals.

Some examples of free boundary submanifolds in the unit ball are the following:

Example 1.1. Equatorial k-planes $D^k \subset B^n$ are the simplest examples of free boundary minimal submanifolds. By a result of Nitsche [Ni] any simply connected free boundary minimal surface in B^3 must be a flat equatorial disk. However, if we admit minimal surfaces of a different topological type, there are other examples, as we explain.

Example 1.2. Critical catenoid. Consider the catenoid parametrized on $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ given by

$$\varphi(t, \theta) = (\cosh t \cos\theta, \cosh t \sin\theta, t).$$

For a unique choice of T_0 the restriction of φ to $[-T_0, T_0] \times S^1$ defines a minimal embedding into a ball meeting the boundary of the ball orthogonally. We may rescale the radius of the ball to 1 to get the *critical catenoid*. Explicitly T_0 is the unique positive solution of $t = \coth t$.

Example 1.3. Critical Möbius band. We think of the Möbius band M as $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ with the identification $(t, \theta) \approx (-t, \theta + \pi)$. There is a minimal embedding of M into $\mathbb{R}^4$ given by

$$\varphi(t, \theta) = (2 \sinh t \cos \theta, 2 \sinh t \sin \theta, \cosh 2t \cos 2\theta, \cosh 2t \sin 2\theta).$$

For a unique choice of T_0 the restriction of φ to $[-T_0, T_0] \times S^1$ defines a minimal embedding into a ball meeting the boundary of the ball orthogonally. We may rescale the radius of the ball to 1 to get the *critical Möbius band*. Explicitly T_0 is the unique positive solution of $\coth t = 2 \tanh 2t$. See [FS2] for details.

A consequence of the results of [FS2] (see Section 4 for a description) is that for every $k \geq 1$ there exists an embedded free boundary minimal surface in B^3 of genus 0 with k boundary components. We expect that there are arbitrarily high genus free boundary solutions with three boundary components in B^3 which converge to the union of the critical catenoid and a disk through the origin orthogonal to the axis.

As stated above, free boundary submanifolds are characterized by the condition that the coordinate functions are Steklov eigenfunctions with eigenvalue 1 ([FS1] Lemma 2.2). If (M, g) is a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary, the Steklov eigenvalue problem is:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g u &= 0 \quad \text{on } M \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} &= \sigma u \quad \text{on } \partial M, \end{cases}$$

where η is the outward unit normal vector to ∂M, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, and $u \in C^\infty(M)$. Steklov eigenvalues are eigenvalues of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map, which sends a given smooth function on the boundary to the normal derivative of its harmonic extension to the interior. The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map is a non-negative, self-adjoint operator with discrete spectrum

$$\sigma_0 = 0 < \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \ldots \leq \sigma_k \leq \ldots \to \infty.$$

The first nonzero Steklov eigenvalue can be characterized variationally as

$$\sigma_1 = \inf_{\int_{\partial M} u = 0} \frac{\int_M |\nabla u|^2 \, dv_M}{\int_{\partial M} u^2 \, dv_{\partial M}}$$

and in general,

$$\sigma_k = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_M}{\int_{\partial M} u^2 \, dv_{\partial M}} \; : \; \int_{\partial M} u \phi_j = 0 \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k-1 \right\}$$

where ϕ_j is an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue σ_j, for $j = 1, \ldots, k-1$. The Steklov eigenvalues of surfaces satisfy a natural conformal invariance:

Definition 1.4. We say that two surfaces M_1 and M_2 are *σ-isometric* (resp. *σ-homothetic*) if there is a conformal diffeomorphism $F : M_1 \to M_2$ that is an isometry (resp. a homothety) on the boundary; that is, $F^* g_2 = \lambda^2 g_1$ and $\lambda = 1$ on ∂M_1 (resp. $\lambda = c$ on ∂M_1 for some positive constant c).

Note that,

- If M_1 and M_2 are σ-isometric then they have the same Steklov eigenvalues.
- If M_1 and M_2 are σ-homothetic then they have the same normalized Steklov eigenvalues; that is, $\sigma_i(M_1)L(\partial M_1) = \sigma_i(M_2)L(\partial M_2)$ for each i, where $L(\partial M_i)$ denotes the length of ∂M_i.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we discuss the connection between maximizing metrics and minimal surfaces. The main result we prove is that if g_0 is a metric that maximizes the k-th normalized Steklov eigenvalue $\sigma_k(g)L_g(\partial M)$, over all smooth metrics g on a compact surface M with boundary, then g_0 is σ-homothetic to the induced metric on a free boundary minimal surface in B^n. This generalizes the case $k = 1$ which we proved in [FS2]. If g_0 maximizes $\sigma_k L$ in a conformal class of metrics on M, we show that (M, g_0) admits a harmonic map into B^n satisfying the free boundary condition, and such that the Hopf differential is real on the boundary. We also give new proofs of the corresponding results for closed surfaces, which do not require analytic approximation. In Section 3 we discuss properties of the volumes of free boundary minimal submanifolds in the ball. We show that free boundary minimal surfaces in the ball maximize their boundary length in their conformal orbit, and for higher dimensional free boundary minimal submanifolds we show that the boundary volume is reduced up to second order under conformal transformations of the ball. Finally, in Section 4 we give a brief overview of our recent results from [FS2] on existence of maximizing metrics and sharp bounds for the first Steklov eigenvalue.

2. The structure of extremal metrics

In this section we summarize the connection between extremal metrics and minimal surfaces. Nadirashvili [N] and El Soufi and Ilias [EI2] showed that a metric that maximizes the functional $\lambda_1(g)$ on the set of Riemannian metrics g of fixed area on a compact surface M arises as the induced metric on a minimal surface in a sphere S^n. In [EI3], they extended the result to higher eigenvalues. Below we give a different proof, which follows some of the same arguments, but does not require analytic approximation.

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be a compact surface without boundary, and suppose g_0 is a metric on M such that*

$$\lambda_k(g_0)A_{g_0}(M) = \max_g \lambda_k(g)A_g(M)$$

where the max is over all smooth metrics on M. Then there exist independent k-th eigenfunctions $u_1, \dots, u_n$ which, after rescaling the metric, give an isometric minimal immersion $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ of M into the unit sphere S^{n-1}.

Proof. First we note that if $l < k$ and $\lambda_l(g_0) = \lambda_k(g_0)$, then g_0 maximizes $\lambda_l A$. Let l be such that $\lambda_l(g_0) = \lambda_k(g_0)$ and $\lambda_{l-1}(g_0) < \lambda_l(g_0)$. Let $g(t)$ be a family of smooth metrics on M with $g(0) = g_0$ and $\frac{d}{dt}g(t) = h(t)$, where $h(t) \in S^2(M)$ is a smooth family of symmetric $(0, 2)$-tensor fields on M. Denote by $E_i(g(t))$ the eigenspace corresponding to the i-th eigenvalue $\lambda_i(t)$ of $(M, g(t))$. Define a quadratic form Q_h on smooth functions u on M by

$$Q_h(u) = -\int_M \langle \tau(u) + \frac{\lambda_l}{2}u^2 g(t), h\rangle \, da_t,$$

where $\tau(u)$ is the stress-energy tensor of u with respect to the metric $g(t)$,

$$\tau(u) = du \otimes du - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 g.$$

Lemma 2.2. *$\lambda_l(t)$ is a Lipschitz function of t, and if $\dot{\lambda}_l(t_0)$ exists, then*

$$\dot{\lambda}_l(t_0) = Q_h(u)$$

for any $u \in E_l(g(t_0))$ with $||u||_{L^2} = 1$.

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \cup_{i=0}^{l-1} E_i(g(t)).$$

Then $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is of constant dimension l and varies smoothly in t for small t. Let

$$P_t : L^2(M, g(t)) \to \mathcal{E}(t)$$

be the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{E}(t)$.

To see that $\lambda_l(t)$ is Lipschitz for small t, let $t_1 \neq t_2$ and assume without loss of generality that $\lambda_l(t_1) \leq \lambda_l(t_2)$. Now let u be an l-th eigenfunction for $g(t_1)$ normalized so that $\int_M u^2 \, da_{t_1} = 1$. It then follows easily from the fact that the path $g(t)$ is smooth that

$$|\int_M |\nabla^{t_1} u|^2 \, da_{t_1} - \int_M |\nabla^{t_2} u|^2 \, da_{t_2}| \leq c|t_1 - t_2|$$

and

$$|\int_M u^2 \, da_{t_1} - \int_M u^2 \, da_{t_2}| \leq c|t_1 - t_2|, \quad |P_{t_1}(u) - P_{t_2}(u)| \leq c|t_1 - t_2|.$$

Therefore we have

$$|\lambda_l(t_1) - \lambda_l(t_2)| = \lambda_l(t_2) - \lambda_l(t_1) \leq \frac{\int_M |\nabla^{t_2}(u - P_{t_2}(u))|^2 \, da_{t_2}}{\int_M (u - P_{t_2}(u))^2 \, da_{t_2}} - \int_M |\nabla^{t_1} u|^2 \, da_{t_1} \leq c|t_1 - t_2|$$

and $\lambda_l(t)$ is Lipschitz.

Choose $u_0 \in E_l(g(t_0))$ and let $u(t) = u_0 - P_t(u_0)$. Let

$$F(t) = \int_M |\nabla u(t)|^2 \, da_t - \lambda_l(t) \int_M u^2(t) \, da_t.$$

Then $F(t) \geq 0$, and $F(t_0) = 0$, and we have $\dot{F}(t_0) = 0$. Differentiating F with respect to t at $t = t_0$ we therefore obtain

$$\int_M [\, 2\langle \nabla u_0, \nabla \dot{u}_0 \rangle - \langle du_0 \otimes du_0 - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u_0|^2 g, h \rangle \,] \, da_{t_0}$$
$$= \dot{\lambda}_l(t_0) \int_M u_0^2 \, da_{t_0} + \lambda_l(t_0) \int_M [\, 2u_0 \dot{u}_0 + \frac{1}{2} u_0^2 \langle g, h \rangle \,] \, da_{t_0}.$$

Since u_0 is an l-th eigenfunction, we have

$$\int_M \langle \nabla u_0, \nabla \dot{u}_0 \rangle \, da_{t_0} = \lambda_l(t_0) \int_M u_0 \, \dot{u}_0 \, da_{t_0}.$$

Using this, and if we normalize u_0 so that $||u_0||_{L^2} = 1$, we have

$$\dot{\lambda}_l(t_0) = -\int_M \langle \tau(u) + \frac{\lambda_l}{2} u^2 g(t), h \rangle \, da_{t_0} = Q_h(u_0).$$

□

Let $L^2(S^2(M))$ denote the space of L^2 symmetric $(0, 2)$-tensor fields on M with respect to the metric g_0.

Lemma 2.3. *For any* $\omega \in L^2(S^2(M))$ *with* $\int_M \langle g_0, \omega \rangle \, da_{g_0} = 0$, *there exists* $u \in E_k(g_0)$ *with* $||u||_{L^2} = 1$ *such that* $Q_\omega(u) = 0$.

Proof. Let $\omega \in L^2(S^2(M))$, and assume that $\int_M \langle g_0, \omega\rangle \; da_{g_0} = 0$. Since $C^\infty(S^2(M))$ is dense in $L^2(S^2(M))$, there is a sequence h_i in $C^2(S^2(M))$ with $\int_M \langle g_0, h_i\rangle \; da_{g_0} = 0$, such that $h_i \to \omega$ in L^2.

Let $g(t) = \frac{A_{g_0}(M)}{A_{g_0+th_i}(M)}(g_0 + th_i)$. Then $g(0) = g_0$, $A_{g(t)}(M) = A_{g_0}(M)$, and since

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} A_{g_0+th_i}(M) = \int_M \langle g_0, h_i\rangle \; da_{g_0} = 0$$

we have $\frac{dg}{dt}\big|_{t=0} = h_i$. Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, by the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$\int_{-\varepsilon}^0 \dot\lambda_l(t)\; dt = \lambda_l(0) - \lambda_l(-\varepsilon) \geq 0$$

by the assumption on g_0. Therefore there exists t, $-\varepsilon < t < 0$, such that $\dot\lambda_l(t)$ exists and $\dot\lambda_l(t) \geq 0$. Let t_j be a sequence of points with $t_j < 0$ and $t_j \to 0$, such that $\dot\lambda_l(t_j) \geq 0$. Choose $u_j \in E_l(g(t_j)) = E_k(g(t_j))$ with $||u_j||_{L^2} = 1$. Then, after passing to a subsequence, u_j converges in $C^2(M)$ to an eigenfunction $u_-^{(i)} \in E_k(g_0)$ with $||u_-^{(i)}||_{L^2} = 1$. Since $Q_{h_i}(u_j) = \dot\lambda_l(t_j) \geq 0$, it follows that $Q_{h_i}(u_-^{(i)}) \geq 0$. By a similar argument, taking a limit from the right, there exists $u_+^{(i)} \in E_k(g_0)$ with $||u_+^{(i)}||_{L^2} = 1$, such that $Q_{h_i}(u_+^{(i)}) \leq 0$.

After passing to subsequences, $u_+^{(i)} \to u_+$ and $u_-^{(i)} \to u_-$ in $C^2(M)$, and

$$Q_\omega(u_+) = \lim_{i\to\infty} Q_{h_i}(u_+^{(i)}) \leq 0$$
$$Q_\omega(u_-) = \lim_{i\to\infty} Q_{h_i}(u_-^{(i)}) \geq 0.$$

□

Without loss of generality, rescale the metric g_0 so that $\lambda_k(g_0) = 2$. The remainder of the argument is as in [EI2]. Let K be the convex hull in $L^2(S^2(M))$ of

$$\{\, \tau(u) + u^2 g_0 \;:\; u \in E_k(g_0)\}.$$

We claim that $g_0 \in K$. If $g_0 \notin K$, then since K is a convex cone which lies in a finite dimensional subspace, the Hahn-Banach theorem implies the existence of $\omega \in L^2(S^2(M))$ that separates g_0 from K; in particular such that

$$\int_M \langle g_0, \omega\rangle \; da_{g_0} > 0, \quad \text{and}$$
$$\int_M \langle \tau(u) + u^2 g_0, \omega\rangle \; da_{g_0} < 0 \qquad \text{for all } u \in E_k(g_0)\setminus\{0\}.$$

Let

$$\tilde\omega = \omega - \left(\frac{1}{2A_{g_0}(M)} \int_M \langle g_0, \omega\rangle \; da_{g_0}\right) g_0.$$

Then, $\int_M \langle g_0, \tilde{\omega}\rangle \, da_{g_0} = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\tilde{\omega}}(u) &= -\int_M \langle \tau(u) + u^2 g_0, \tilde{\omega}\rangle \, da_{g_0} \\ &= -\int_M \langle \tau(u) + u^2 g_0, \omega\rangle \, da_{g_0} + \frac{\int_M \langle g_0, \omega\rangle \, da_{g_0}}{A_{g_0}(M)} \int_M u^2 \, da_{g_0} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts Lemma 2.3. Therefore, $g_0 \in K$, and since K is contained in a finite dimensional subspace, there exist independent eigenfunctions $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in E_k(g_0)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (du_i \otimes du_i - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u_i|^2 g_0 + u_i^2 g_0) = g_0$$

This implies that $\sum_i u_i^2 = 1$ and $\sum_i du_i \otimes du_i = g_0$. Thus $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) : M \to S^{n-1}$ is an isometric minimal immersion. $\square$

We turn now to the Steklov eigenvalue problem. In [FS2] we proved that metrics that maximize the first Steklov eigenvalue arise from free boundary minimal surfaces in the unit ball. The proof is more complicated in the Steklov eigenvalue setting because the quadratic form Q has both an interior and a boundary term. Here we extend our result [FS2] for σ_1 to higher Steklov eigenvalues.

Proposition 2.4. *If M is a surface with boundary, and g_0 is a metric on M with*

$$\sigma_k(g_0) L_{g_0}(\partial M) = \max_g \sigma_k(g) L_g(\partial M)$$

where the max is over all smooth metrics on M. Then there exist independent k-th eigenfunctions $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ which give a conformal minimal immersion $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ of M into the unit ball B^n such that u(M) is a free boundary solution, and up to rescaling of the metric u is an isometry on ∂M.

Proof. First we note that if $l < k$ and $\sigma_l(g_0) = \sigma_k(g_0)$, then g_0 maximizes $\sigma_l L$. Let l be such that $\sigma_l(g_0) = \sigma_k(g_0)$ and $\sigma_{l-1}(g_0) < \sigma_l(g_0)$. Let $g(t)$ be a family of smooth metrics on M with $g(0) = g_0$ and $\frac{d}{dt} g(t) = h(t)$, where $h(t) \in S^2(M)$ is a smooth family of symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor fields on M. Denote by $E_i(g(t))$ the eigenspace corresponding to the i-th Steklov eigenvalue $\sigma_i(t)$ of $(M, g(t))$. Define a quadratic form Q_h on smooth functions u on M as follows

$$Q_h(u) = -\int_M \langle \tau(u), h\rangle \, da_t - \frac{\sigma_l(t)}{2} \int_{\partial M} u^2 h(T,T) \, ds_t,$$

where T is the unit tangent to ∂M for the metric g_0.

Lemma 2.5. *$\sigma_l(t)$ is a Lipschitz function of t, and if $\dot{\sigma}_l(t_0)$ exists, then*

$$\dot{\sigma}_l(t_0) = Q_h(u)$$

for any $u \in E_l(g(t_0))$ with $||u||_{L^2} = 1$.

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \cup_{i=0}^{l-1} E_i(g(t)).$$

Then $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is of constant dimension l and varies smoothly in t for small t. Let

$$P_t : L^2(\partial M, g(t)) \to \mathcal{E}(t)$$

be the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{E}(t)$.

To see that $\sigma_l(t)$ is Lipschitz for small t, let $t_1 \neq t_2$ and assume without loss of generality that $\sigma_l(t_1) \leq \sigma_l(t_2)$. Now let u be an l-th Steklov eigenfunction for $g(t_1)$ normalized so that $\int_{\partial M} u^2\, ds_{t_1} = 1$. It then follows easily from the fact that the path $g(t)$ is smooth that

$$|\int_M |\nabla^{t_1} u|^2\, da_{t_1} - \int_M |\nabla^{t_2} u|^2\, da_{t_2}| \leq c|t_1 - t_2|$$

and

$$|\int_{\partial M} u^2\, ds_{t_1} - \int_{\partial M} u^2\, ds_{t_2}| \leq c|t_1 - t_2|, \quad |P_{t_1}(u) - P_{t_2}(u)| \leq c|t_1 - t_2|.$$

Therefore we have

$$|\sigma_l(t_1) - \sigma_l(t_2)| = \sigma_l(t_2) - \sigma_l(t_1) \leq \frac{\int_M |\nabla^{t_2}(u - P_{t_2}(u))|^2\, da_{t_2}}{\int_{\partial M} (u - P_{t_2}(u))^2\, ds_{t_2}} - \int_M |\nabla^{t_1} u|^2\, da_{t_1} \leq c|t_1 - t_2|$$

and $\sigma_l(t)$ is Lipschitz.

Choose $u_0 \in E_l(g(t_0))$ and let $u(t) = u_0 - P_t(u_0)$. Let

$$F(t) = \int_M |\nabla u(t)|^2\, da_t - \sigma_l(t) \int_{\partial M} u^2(t)\, ds_t.$$

Then $F(t) \geq 0$, and $F(t_0) = 0$, and we have $\dot{F}(t_0) = 0$. Differentiating F with respect to t at $t = t_0$ we therefore obtain

$$\int_M [\, 2\langle \nabla u_0, \nabla \dot{u}_0 \rangle - \langle du_0 \otimes du_0 - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u_0|^2 g, h\rangle \,]\, da_{t_0}$$
$$= \dot{\sigma}_l(t_0) \int_{\partial M} u_0^2\, ds_{t_0} + \sigma_l(t_0) \int_{\partial M} [\, 2u_0 \dot{u}_0 + \frac{1}{2} u_0^2 h(T,T) \,]\, ds_{t_0}.$$

Since u_0 is an l-th Steklov eigenfunction, we have

$$\int_M \langle \nabla u_0, \nabla \dot{u}_0 \rangle\, da_{t_0} = \sigma_l(t_0) \int_{\partial M} u_0\, \dot{u}_0\, ds_{t_0}.$$

Using this, and if we normalize u_0 so that $||u_0||_{L^2} = 1$, we have

$$\dot{\sigma}_l(t_0) = -\int_M \langle du_0 \otimes du_0 - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u_0|^2 g, h\rangle\, da_{t_0} - \frac{\sigma_l(t_0)}{2} \int_{\partial M} u_0^2 h(T,T)\, ds_{t_0} = Q_h(u_0).$$

□

Consider the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(S^2(M)) \times L^2(\partial M)$, the space of pairs of L^2 symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor fields on M and L^2 functions on boundary of M with respect to the metric g_0.

Lemma 2.6. *For any $(\omega, f) \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\int_{\partial M} f\, ds = 0$, there exists $u \in E_k(g_0)$ with $||u||_{L^2} = 1$ such that $\langle (\omega, \frac{\sigma_k(g_0)}{2} f), (\tau(u), u^2) \rangle_{L^2} = 0$.*

Proof. Let $(\omega, f) \in \mathcal{H}$, and assume that $\int_{\partial M} f\, ds = 0$. Since $C^\infty(S^2(M)) \times C^\infty(M)$ is dense in $L^2(S^2(M)) \times L^2(M))$, we can approximate (ω, f) arbitrarily closely in L^2 by a smooth pair $(h, \tilde{f})$ with $\int_{\partial M} \tilde{f}\ ds = 0$. We may redefine h in a neighbourhood of the boundary to a smooth tensor whose restriction to ∂M is equal to the function $\tilde{f}$, and such that the change in the L^2 norm is arbitrarily small. In this way, we obtain a smooth sequence h_i with $\int_{\partial M} h_i(T,T)\, ds = 0$, such that $(h_i, h_i(T,T)) \to (\omega, f)$ in L^2.

Let $g(t) = \frac{L_{g_0}(\partial M)}{L_{g_0+th_i}(\partial M)}(g_0 + th_i)$. Then $g(0) = g_0$, $L_{g(t)}(\partial M) = L_{g_0}(\partial M)$, and since

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} L_{g_0+th_i}(\partial M) = \int_{\partial M} h_i(T,T)\, ds = 0$$

we have $\frac{dg}{dt}\big|_{t=0} = h_i$. Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, by the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$\int_{-\varepsilon}^{0} \dot{\sigma}_l(t)\, dt = \sigma_l(0) - \sigma_l(-\varepsilon) \geq 0$$

by the assumption on g_0. Therefore there exists t, $-\varepsilon < t < 0$, such that $\dot{\sigma}_l(t)$ exists and $\dot{\sigma}_l(t) \geq 0$. Let t_j be a sequence of points with $t_j < 0$ and $t_j \to 0$, such that $\dot{\sigma}_l(t_j) \geq 0$. Choose $u_j \in E_l(g(t_j)) = E_k(g(t_j))$ with $||u_j||_{L^2} = 1$. Then, after passing to a subsequence, u_j converges in $C^2(M)$ to an eigenfunction $u_-^{(i)} \in E_k(g_0)$ with $||u_-^{(i)}||_{L^2} = 1$. Since $Q_{h_i}(u_j) = \dot{\sigma}_l(t_j) \geq 0$, it follows that $Q_{h_i}(u_-^{(i)}) \geq 0$. By a similar argument, taking a limit from the right, there exists $u_+^{(i)} \in E_k(g_0)$ with $||u_+^{(i)}||_{L^2} = 1$, such that $Q_{h_i}(u_+^{(i)}) \leq 0$.

After passing to subsequences, $u_+^{(i)} \to u_+$ and $u_-^{(i)} \to u_-$ in $C^2(M)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle(\omega, \frac{\sigma_k(g_0)}{2} f), (\tau(u_+), u_+^2)\rangle_{L^2} &= -\lim_{i\to\infty} Q_{h_i}(u_+^{(i)}) \geq 0 \\
\langle(\omega, \frac{\sigma_k(g_0)}{2} f), (\tau(u_-), u_-^2)\rangle_{L^2} &= -\lim_{i\to\infty} Q_{h_i}(u_-^{(i)}) \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Without loss of generality, rescale the metric g_0 so that $\sigma_k(g_0) = 1$. Let K be the convex hull in $\mathcal{H}$ of

$$\{\, (\tau(u), u^2) \,:\, u \in E_k(g_0)\}.$$

We claim that $(0,1) \in K$. If $(0,1) \notin K$, then since K is a convex cone which lies in a finite dimensional subspace, the Hahn-Banach theorem implies the existence of $(\omega, f) \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle(\omega, \frac{1}{2} f), (0,1)\rangle_{L^2} > 0, \quad \text{and} \\
&\langle(\omega, \frac{1}{2} f), (\tau(u), u^2)\rangle_{L^2} < 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in E_k(g_0) \setminus \{0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{f} = f - \frac{1}{L_{g_0}(\partial M)} \int_{\partial M} f\, ds$. Then, $\int_{\partial M} \tilde{f}\, ds = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle(\omega, \frac{1}{2}\tilde{f}), (\tau(u), u^2)\rangle_{L^2} &= \int_M \langle \omega, \tau(u)\rangle\, da + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial M} \tilde{f} u^2\, ds \\ &= \int_M \langle \omega, \tau(u)\rangle\, da + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial M} f u^2\, ds - \frac{\int_{\partial M} f}{2L_{g_0}(\partial M)} \int_{\partial M} u^2\, ds \\ &= \langle(\omega, \frac{1}{2}f), (\tau(u), u^2)\rangle_{L^2} - \frac{\int_{\partial M} u^2\, ds}{L_{g_0}(\partial M)} \langle(\omega, \frac{1}{2}f), (0,1)\rangle_{L^2} \\ &< 0.\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts Lemma 2.6. Therefore, $(0,1) \in K$, and since K is contained in a finite dimensional subspace, there exist independent eigenfunctions $u_1, \dots, u_n \in E_k(g_0)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(u_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (du_i \otimes du_i - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u_i|^2 g_0) \quad \text{on } M \\ 1 &= \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2 \quad \text{on } \partial M\end{aligned}$$

Thus $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) : M \to B^n$ is a conformal minimal immersion. Since u_i is a k-th Steklov eigenfunction and $\sigma_k(g_0) = 1$, we have $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial \eta} = u_i$ on ∂M for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore,

$$\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta}\right|^2 = |u|^2 = 1 \qquad \text{on } \partial M,$$

and since u is conformal, u is an isometry on ∂M. □

We conclude this section by mentioning the corresponding results for the problem of maximizing eigenvalues within a conformal class of metrics. El Soufi and Ilias [EI2] showed that if a metric g maximizes λ_k among all metrics in its conformal class, then (M, g) admits a harmonic map of constant energy density into a sphere. Here we give an alternate proof of this using the method of our proof of Proposition 2.1 above which does not require analytic approximation.

Proposition 2.7. *Let M be a compact surface without boundary, and suppose g_0 is a metric on M such that*

$$\lambda_k(g_0) A_{g_0}(M) = \max_g \lambda_k(g) A_g(M)$$

where the max is over all smooth metrics on M in the conformal class of g_0. Then there exist independent k-th eigenfunctions $u_1, \dots, u_n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2 = 1$. That is, $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) : (M, g_0) \to S^{n-1}$ is a harmonic map with constant energy density $e(u) = \lambda_k/2$.

Proof. Let $g(t) = e^{\alpha(t)} g_0$ be a smooth family of metrics with $g(0) = g_0$ and $\frac{d}{dt} g(t) = \varphi(t) g_0$. Let l be such that $\lambda_l(g_0) = \lambda_k(g_0)$ and $\lambda_{l-1}(g_0) < \lambda_l(g_0)$. Then, using Lemma 2.2, we have that $\lambda_l(t)$ is a Lipschitz function of t, and if $\dot{\lambda}_l(t_0)$ exists, then

$$\dot{\lambda}_l(t_0) = Q_\varphi(u) := -\lambda_l(g_0) \int_M u^2 \varphi\, da_{t_0}.$$

By the same argument as in Lemma 2.3, for any $f \in L^2(M)$ with $\int_M f\, da_{g_0} = 0$, there exists $u \in E_k(g_0)$ with $\|u\|_{L^2} = 1$ such that $Q_f(u) = 0$. We may then complete the proof using the Hahn-Banach argument as before. Let K be the convex hull in $L^2(M)$ of $\{u^2 : u \in E_k(g_0)\}$. Arguments similar to the proof of Proposition 2.1 imply that the constant function 1 belongs to K. Therefore, there exist $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in E_k(g_0)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2 = 1$. Then $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) : M \to S^2$ is a harmonic map, and $2e(u)u = \Delta u = \lambda_k u$, so $e(u) = \lambda_k/2$. ☐

For maximizing Steklov eigenvalues in a conformal class of metrics we have the following. The proof is slightly simpler than the case of maximizing $\sigma_k L$ over all metrics, because when restricted to conformal variations of the metric the quadratic form Q does not have an interior term.

Proposition 2.8. *If M is a surface with boundary, and g_0 is a metric on M with*

$$\sigma_k(g_0)L_{g_0}(\partial M) = \max_g \sigma_k(g)L_g(\partial M)$$

where the max is over all smooth metrics on M in the conformal class of g_0. Then there exist independent k-th eigenfunctions $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2 = 1$ on ∂M. That is, $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) : (M, \partial M) \to (B^n, \partial B^n)$ is a harmonic map and the Hopf differential of u is real on ∂M.

Proof. Let $g(t) = e^{\alpha(t)}g_0$ be a smooth family of metrics with $g(0) = g_0$ and $\frac{d}{dt}g(t) = \varphi(t)g_0$. Let l be such that $\sigma_l(g_0) = \sigma_k(g_0)$ and $\sigma_{l-1}(g_0) < \sigma_l(g_0)$. Then, using Lemma 2.2, we have that $\sigma_l(t)$ is a Lipschitz function of t, and if $\dot{\sigma}_l(t_0)$ exists, then

$$\dot{\sigma}_l(t_0) = Q_\varphi(u) := -\frac{\sigma_l(g_0)}{2}\int_{\partial M} u^2\varphi\, ds_{t_0}.$$

By the same argument as in Lemma 2.3, for any $f \in L^2(\partial M)$ with $\int_{\partial M} f\, ds_{g_0} = 0$, there exists $u \in E_k(g_0)$ with $\|u\|_{L^2(\partial M)} = 1$ such that $Q_f(u) = 0$. We may then complete the proof using the Hahn-Banach argument as before. Let K be the convex hull in $L^2(\partial M)$ of $\{u^2 : u \in E_k(g_0)\}$. Arguments similar to those in the proof of Proposition 2.1 imply that the constant function 1 belongs to K. Therefore, there exist $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in E_k(g_0)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2 = 1$ on ∂M. Then $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) : M \to B^n$ is a harmonic map, with $u(\partial M) \subset B^n$ and meeting ∂B^n orthogonally. Then $u_\eta \cdot u_T = 0$ on ∂M, and the Hopf differential of u is real on the boundary of M. ☐

To elucidate the condition described in the previous proposition, we describe the notion of a $\frac{1}{2}$-harmonic map from ∂M to $\partial\Omega$ where M is a Riemann surface with boundary and Ω is a Riemannian manifold with boundary. We say that $u : \partial M \to \partial\Omega$ is *$\frac{1}{2}$-harmonic* if there is a harmonic map $\hat{u} : M \to \Omega$ with $\hat{u} = u$ on ∂M whose energy is stationary with respect to variations which preserve Ω but do not necessarily preserve $\partial\Omega$. This is the condition that the normal derivative of the map is parallel to the unit normal of $\partial\Omega$ along the image of u. This notion has been formulated by Da Lio and Riviére [DR] in case M is the unit disk and Ω is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and they have obtained delicate regularity results for $\frac{1}{2}$-harmonic maps from the unit circle to the sphere. In case Ω is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and M is the unit disk, such maps arise as critical points for the $H^{1/2}$ energy of the boundary map among maps from ∂M to $\partial\Omega$, and that is the reason for the terminology. The conclusions of the proposition are equivalent to the condition that u is a $\frac{1}{2}$-harmonic from ∂M to the sphere ∂B^n. The results of [DR] will be important for obtaining the regularity of metrics which maximize Steklov eigenvalues on surfaces with a fixed conformal structure.

3. Volumes of Minimal Submanifolds

In this section we discuss some properties of the volumes of the minimal surfaces that arise in the extremal eigenvalue problems as described in the previous section. In the case of closed surfaces, Li and Yau [LY] proved that minimal surfaces in S^n maximize their area in their conformal orbit, and El Soufi and Ilias [EI1] generalized this result to higher dimensional minimal submanifolds in S^n:

Theorem 3.1. *Let Σ be a compact k-dimensional minimal submanifold in S^n. Suppose $f \in G \setminus O(n+1)$, where G is the group of conformal transformations of S^n. If Σ is not isometric to S^k then*

$$|f(\Sigma)| < |\Sigma|.$$

This result in the two-dimensional case plays a key role in the characterization of the maximizing metrics for λ_1 on the torus and Klein bottle (see [MR], [N], [JNP]). The corresponding question for free boundary minimal submanifolds in the ball remains open.

Question 3.2. *Suppose Σ is a k-dimensional free boundary minimal submanifold in B^n, and $f : B^n \to B^n$ is conformal. Is it true that $|f(\Sigma)| \leq |\Sigma|$?*

On the other hand, for $k = 2$, we have the following result on conformal images of *boundaries* of free boundary minimal surfaces. We proved this in [FS1] (Theorem 5.3) using different methods.

Theorem 3.3. *Let Σ be a minimal surface in B^n with $\partial\Sigma \subset \partial B^n$, meeting B^n orthogonally. Suppose $f : B^n \to B^n$ is conformal. Then*

$$|f(\partial\Sigma)| \leq |\partial\Sigma|.$$

Proof. We use a first variation argument. Recall that the first variation formula is

$$\int_\Sigma \operatorname{div}_\Sigma(V) = \int_{\partial\Sigma} V \cdot x \; ds$$

where $\operatorname{div}_\Sigma(V) = \sum_i \nabla_{e_i} V \cdot e_i$ in an orthonormal tangent basis.

Let u be the length magnification factor of f; that is, $f^*(\delta) = u^2\delta$ where δ is the Euclidean metric. It can be checked that there is a $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|y| > 1$ so that $u(x) = \frac{|y|^2-1}{|x-y|^2}$. If we make the choice

$$V = \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^2}$$

in the first variation we can check that $\operatorname{div}_\Sigma V \geq 0$ and $V \cdot x = \frac{1}{2}(1-u)$ on $\partial\Sigma$. It then follows that

$$0 \leq \int_{\partial\Sigma} (1-u) \; ds = |\partial\Sigma| - |f(\partial\Sigma)|.$$

□

Remark 3.4. The proof above uses only the first variation, and thus works for integer multiplicity rectifiable varifolds which are stationary for deformations which preserve the ball. This is the class of objects which are produced by Almgren [A] in the min/max theory for the variational problem.

A lower bound on areas for free boundary surfaces follows from the boundary length decrease under conformal maps of the ball since such maps can be chosen which blow up any chosen point $x \in \partial\Sigma$ and the limit is an equatorial disk with area π (for details see Theorem 5.4 in [FS1]).

Theorem 3.5. *Let Σ^2 be a minimal surface in B^n with $\partial\Sigma \subset \partial B^n$, meeting ∂B^n orthogonally. Then*

$$|\Sigma| \geq \pi.$$

Equivalently, $|\partial\Sigma| \geq 2\pi$, since $|\partial\Sigma| = 2|\Sigma|$.

When $\partial\Sigma$ is a single curve this result follows from work of Croke and Weinstein [CW] on a sharp lower length bound on 'balanced' curves.

Corollary 3.6. *The sharp isoperimetric inequality holds for free boundary minimal surfaces in the ball:*

$$|\Sigma| \leq \frac{|\partial\Sigma|^2}{4\pi}.$$

It is natural to conjecture that Theorem 3.3 holds for higher dimensional free boundary minimal submanifolds in the ball:

Conjecture 3.7. *If Σ is a k dimensional minimal submanifold in the ball B^n with $\partial\Sigma \subset S^{n-1}$ and with the conormal vector of Σ equal to the position vector, then for any conformal transformation f of the ball we have $|f(\partial\Sigma)| \leq |\partial\Sigma|$.*

Evidence for this conjecture is suggested by the following. By Theorem 3.3 it is true for $k = 2$. In the special case of a cone, Theorem 3.1 implies the conjecture. Moreover, it is true in general that the boundary volume is reduced up to second order, as we prove in Theorem 3.8 below. Finally, a consequence of the conjecture is that $|\Sigma| \geq |B^k|$. This inequality was shown recently by a direct monotonicity-style argument by Brendle [Br].

Theorem 3.8. *Let Σ be a k dimensional minimal submanifold in the ball B^n with $\partial\Sigma \subset S^{n-1}$ and with the conormal vector of Σ equal to the position vector. Given any $v \in S^{n-1}$, let f_t be the one parameter family of conformal transformations of the ball generated by the gradient of the linear function in the direction v. Then,*

$$\left.\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\right|_{t=0} |f_t(\partial\Sigma)| = -(k-1)k \int_{\partial\Sigma} |v^{\perp}|^2 \, dv \;\leq\; 0.$$

Proof. Let Σ be a k dimensional minimal submanifold in the ball B^n with $\partial\Sigma \subset S^{n-1}$ and with the conormal vector of Σ equal to the position vector. Given $v \in S^{n-1}$, let $\ell = x \cdot v$, where x is the position vector, and let $X = \nabla^{S^{n-1}} \ell = v^{\top_{S^{n-1}}}$, the component of v tangent to S^{n-1}. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}|f_t(\partial\Sigma)| &= \int_{\partial\Sigma} \mathrm{div}_{f_t(\partial\Sigma)} X \, dv_t \\ &= -(k-1)\int_{\partial\Sigma} \ell \, dv_t\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|f_t(\partial\Sigma)| &= -(k-1)\int_{\partial\Sigma}\left[X(\ell)-(k-1)\ell^2\right]\, dv_t \\ &= -(k-1)\int_{\partial\Sigma}\left[|\nabla^{S^{n-1}}\ell|^2-(k-1)\ell^2\right]\, dv_t \qquad (3.1)\\ &= -(k-1)\int_{\partial\Sigma}\left[(1-\ell^2)-(k-1)\ell^2\right]\, dv_t \\ &= -(k-1)\int_{\partial\Sigma}(1-k\ell^2)\, dv_t,\end{aligned}$$

where in the third equality we have used $|\nabla^{S^{n-1}}\ell|^2 = |v^{\top_{S^{n-1}}}|^2 = 1-(v\cdot x)^2 = 1-\ell^2$. Set $V = x - k\ell v$. Then $V\cdot x = 1-k\ell^2$, and by the first variation formula,

$$\int_\Sigma \mathrm{div}_\Sigma V = \int_{\partial\Sigma} V\cdot x = \int_{\partial\Sigma} 1-k\ell^2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathrm{div}_\Sigma V = k - k|v^\top|^2 = k|v^\perp|^2,$$

where $v^\top$ and $v^\perp$ denote the components of v tangent and normal to Σ. Therefore,

$$\int_{\partial\Sigma}(1-k\ell^2)\, dv = k\int_{\partial\Sigma}|v^\perp|^2\, dv.$$

Substituting this in (3.1), we obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|f_t(\partial\Sigma)|\bigg|_{t=0} = -(k-1)k\int_{\partial\Sigma}|v^\perp|^2\, dv \;\leq\; 0.$$

□

This shows that the boundary volume of a free boundary minimal submanifold Σ in the ball is reduced up to second order under conformal images, however the following weaker question than Question 3.2, of whether the volume of Σ itself is reduced up to second order, remains open:

Question 3.9. *Suppose Σ is a k-dimensional free boundary minimal submanifold in B^n, and X is a conformal Killing vector field in B^n. Is it true that $\delta^2\Sigma(X,X)\leq 0$?*

On the other hand, by a subtle argument, we were able to show that the second variation of volume of Σ is nonpositive for the vector fields $v^\perp$, for any $v\in\mathbb{R}^n$ ([FS2] Theorem 3.1).

Theorem 3.10. *If Σ^k is a free boundary minimal submanifold in B^n and $v\in\mathbb{R}^n$, then we have*

$$\delta^2\Sigma(v^\perp,v^\perp) = -k\int_\Sigma |v^\perp|^2\, dv.$$

If Σ is not contained in a product $\Sigma_0 \times \mathbb{R}$ where Σ_0 is a free boundary solution, then the Morse index of Σ is at least n. In particular, if $k = 2$ and Σ is not a plane disk, its index is at least n.

This index estimate for free boundary minimal submanifolds in the ball plays a key role in our sharp bounds for the first nonzero Steklov eigenvalue on the annulus and Möbius band from [FS2], which we survey in the next section.

4. SHARP STEKLOV EIGENVALUE BOUNDS

In this section we give a brief overview of our recent results from [FS2] on existence of extremal metrics and sharp bounds for the first Steklov eigenvalue. If we fix a surface M of genus γ with k boundary components, we can define

$$\sigma^*(\gamma, k) = \sup_g \sigma_1(g)\, L_g(\partial M)$$

where the supremum is over all smooth metrics on M. By a result of Weinstock [W], $\sigma^*(0,1) = 2\pi$, and the supremum is achieved uniquely up to σ-homothety by the Euclidean disk D. In general, we have the coarse upper bound

$$\sigma^*(\gamma, k) \le \min\{2\pi(\gamma + k),\ 8\pi[(\gamma+3)/2]\},$$

which is a combination [FS1] Theorem 2.3 and [KN] Proposition 1. A basic question is: What is the value of $\sigma^*(\gamma, k)$ for other surfaces? Does a maximizing metric exist?

In [FS2] we show that for any compact surface M with boundary, a smooth maximizing metric g exists on M provided the conformal structure is controlled for any metric near the maximum. For surfaces of genus zero with arbitrarily many boundary components we prove boundedness of the conformal structure for nearly maximizing metrics. Thus, we have the following existence result for maximizing metrics on surfaces of genus zero.

Theorem 4.1. *For any $k \ge 1$ there exists a smooth metric g on the surface of genus 0 with k boundary components with the property $\sigma_1(g)L_g(\partial M) = \sigma^*(0, k)$.*

In the case of the annulus and the Möbius band, we explicitly characterize the maximizing metrics. Recall that for any free boundary minimal surface in the ball, the coordinate functions are Steklov eigenfunctions with eigenvalue 1. In the case of the critical catenoid and the critical Möbius band, the coordinate functions are in fact *first* Steklov eigenfunctions ([FS1] section 3, [FS2] Proposition 7.1). Moreover, we show that these are the only free boundary annuli and Möbius bands such that the coordinate functions are first eigenfunctions:

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that Σ is a free boundary minimal annulus in B^n such that the coordinate functions are first eigenfunctions. Then $n = 3$ and Σ is the critical catenoid.*

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that Σ is a free boundary minimal Möbius band in B^n such that the coordinate functions are first eigenfunctions. Then $n = 4$ and Σ is the critical Möbius band.*

By Theorem 4.1 there exists a maximizing metric on the annulus and on the Möbius band. By Proposition 2.4 these maximizing metrics are σ-homothetic to the induced metrics from free boundary minimal immersions of the annulus and Möbius band in B^n. But then by the minimal surface uniqueness results above, these immersions must be congruent to the critical catenoid and critical Möbius band. Thus we have the following sharp eigenvalue bounds and explicit characterizations of maximizing metrics on the annulus and Möbius band.

Theorem 4.4. *For any metric annulus M*

$$\sigma_1 L \leq (\sigma_1 L)_{cc}$$

with equality if and only if M is σ-homothetic to the critical catenoid. In particular,

$$\sigma^*(0,2) = (\sigma_1 L)_{cc} \approx 4\pi/1.2.$$

Theorem 4.5. *For any metric Möbius band M*

$$\sigma_1 L \leq (\sigma_1 L)_{cmb} = 2\pi\sqrt{3}$$

with equality if and only if M is σ-homothetic to the critical Möbius band.

For surfaces of genus 0 and $k \geq 3$ boundary components we are not able to explicitly characterize the maximizing metrics, but we show that the metrics arise from free boundary surfaces in B^3 which are embedded and star-shaped with respect to the origin, and we analyze the limit as k goes to infinity.

Theorem 4.6. *The sequence $\sigma^*(0,k)$ is strictly increasing in k and converges to 4π as k tends to infinity. For each k a maximizing metric is achieved by a free boundary minimal surface Σ_k in B^3 of area less than 2π. The limit of these minimal surfaces as k tends to infinity is a double disk.*

As a consequence, we have the following existence theorem for free boundary minimal surfaces in the ball.

Corollary 4.7. *For every $k \geq 1$ there is an embedded minimal surface in B^3 of genus 0 with k boundary components satisfying the free boundary condition. Moreover these surfaces are embedded by first eigenfunctions.*

References

[A] F. J. Almgren, *The theory of varifolds*, Mimeographed notes, Princeton University (1965).

[Br] S. Brendle, A sharp bound for the area of minimal surfaces in the unit ball, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **22** (2012), 621–626.

[BM] R. Brooks, E. Makover, Riemann surfaces with large first eigenvalue, *J. Anal. Math.* **83** (2001), 243–258.

[BBD] P. Buser, M. Burger, J. Dodziuk, Riemann surfaces of large genus and large λ_1, *Geometry and analysis on manifolds (Katata/Kyoto, 1987)*, 54–63, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1339, *Springer, Berlin*, 1988.

[CW] C. Croke, A. Weinstein, Closed curves on convex hypersurfaces and periods of nonlinear oscillations, *Invent. Math.* **64** (1981), no. 2, 199–202.

[DR] F. Da Lio, T. Riviére, Three-term commutator estimates and the regularity of $\frac{1}{2}$-harmonic maps into spheres, *Anal. PDE* **4** (2011), 149–190.

[EGJ] A. El Soufi, H. Giacomini, M. Jazar, A unique extremal metric for the least eigenvalue of the Laplacian on the Klein bottle, *Duke Math. J.* **135** (2006), 181–202.

[EI1] A. El Soufi, S. Ilias, Immersions minimales, première valeur propre du laplacien et volume conforme, *Math. Ann.* **275** (1986), *no. 2*, 257–267.

[EI2] A. El Soufi, S. Ilias, Riemannian manifolds admitting isometric immersions by their first eigenfunctions, *Pacific J. Math.* **195** (2000), no. 1, 91–99.

[EI3] A. El Soufi, S. Ilias, Laplacian eigenvalue functionals and metric deformations on compact manifolds, *J. Geom. Phys.* **58** (2008), no.1, 89–104.

[FS1] A. Fraser, R. Schoen, The first Steklov eigenvalue, conformal geometry, and minimal surfaces, *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011), *no. 5*, 4011–4030.

[FS2] A. Fraser, R. Schoen, Sharp eigenvalue bounds and minimal surfaces in the ball, arXiv:1209.3789 [math.DG] (2012).

[H] J. Hersch, Quatre propriétés isopérimétriqes de membranes sphériques homogènes, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **270** (1970), A1645–A1648.

[JNP] D. Jakobson, N. Nadirashvili, I. Polterovich, Extremal metric for the first eigenvalue on a Klein bottle, *Cand. J. Math.* **58** (2006), 381–400.

[KN] G. Kokarev, N. Nadirashvili, On first Neumann eigenvalue bounds for conformal metrics, *Around the research of Vladimir Maz'ya. II*, 229–238, Int. Math. Ser. (N. Y.), **12**, *Springer, New York*, 2010.

[LY] P. Li, S.-T. Yau, A new conformal invariant and its applications to the Willmore conjecture and the first eigenvalue of compact surfaces, *Invent. Math.* **69** (1982), no.2, 269–291.

[MR] S. Montiel, A. Ros, Minimal immersions of surfaces by the first eigenfunctions and conformal area, *Invent. Math.* **83** (1985), no. 1, 153–166.

[N] N. Nadirashvili, Berger's isoperimetric problem and minimal immersions of surfaces, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **6** (1996), no. 5, 877–897.

[Ni] J. C. C. Nitsche, Stationary partitioning of convex bodies, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **89** (1985), no. 1, 1–19.

[W] R. Weinstock, Inequalities for a classical eigenvalue problem, *J. Rational Mech. Anal.* **3** (1954), 745–753.

[YY] P. Yang, S.-T. Yau, Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of compact Riemann surfaces and minimal submanifolds, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, **7** (1980), no. 1, 55–63.

Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z2
E-mail address: `afraser@math.ubc.ca`

Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305
E-mail address: `schoen@math.stanford.edu`